arXiv:1605.05316v1 [math.DG] 17 May 2016

REAL HYPERSURFACES IN THE COMPLEX QUADRIC WITH PARALLEL STRUCTURE JACOBI OPERATOR

YOUNG JIN SUH

Abstract. First we introduce the notion of parallel structure Jacobi operator for real hypersurfaces in the complex quadric $Q^m = SO_{m+2}/SO_mSO_2$. Next we give a complete classification of real hypersurfaces in $Q^m = SO_{m+2}/SO_mSO_2$ with parallel structure Jacobi operator.

1. Introduction

In a class of Hermitian symmetric spaces of rank 2, usually we can give examples of Riemannian symmetric spaces $SU_{m+2}/S(U_2U_m)$ and $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$, which are said to be complex two-plane Grassmannians and complex hyperbolic two-plane Grassmannians respectively (see [1], [2], [15] and [16]). These are viewed as Hermitian symmetric spaces and quaternionic Kähler symmetric spaces equipped with the Kähler structure J and the quaternionic Kähler structure $\mathfrak{J}$ on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. The rank of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ is 2 and there are exactly two types of singular tangent vectors X of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ which are characterized by the geometric properties $JX \in \mathfrak{J}X$ and $JX \perp \mathfrak{J}X$ respectively.

As another kind of Hermitian symmetric space with rank 2 of compact type different from the above ones, we can give the example of complex quadric $Q^m = SO_{m+2}/SO_mSO_2$, which is a complex hypersurface in complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^m$ (see Berndt and Suh [3], and Smyth [14]). The complex quadric also can be regarded as a kind of real Grassmann manifold of compact type with rank 2 (see Kobayashi and Nomizu [7]). Accordingly, the complex quadric admits both a complex conjugation structure A and a Kähler structure J, which anti-commutes with each other, that is, $AJ = -JA$. Then for $m\geq 2$ the triple (Q^m, J, g) is a Hermitian symmetric space of compact type with rank 2 and its maximal sectional curvature is equal to 4 (see Klein [6] and Reckziegel [12]).

In the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^m$, a full classification was obtained by Okumura in [13]. He proved that the Reeb flow on a real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^m = SU_{m+1}/S(U_mU_1)$ is isometric if and only if M is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^k \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$ for some $k \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$. In the complex 2-plane Grassmannian $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}) = SU_{m+2}/S(U_mU_2)$, Berndt and Suh [1] proved the classification that the Reeb flow on a real hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ is isometric if and only if M is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+1}) \subset G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. Moreover, in [16] we have shown that the Reeb

This work was supported by grant Proj. No. NRF-2015-R1A2A1A-01002459 from National Research Foundation of Korea.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 53C40. Secondary 53C55.

Key words: parallel structure Jacobi operator, $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic, $\mathfrak{A}$-principal, Kähler structure, complex conjugation, complex quadric

flow on a real hypersurface in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ is isometric if and only if M is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $SU_{2,m-1}/S(U_2U_{m-1}) \subset SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. In view of the previous two results a natural expectation might be that the classification involves at least the totally geodesic $Q^{m-1} \subset Q^m$. But, suprisingly, in the complex quadric Q^m the situation is quite different from those ones. Recently, Berndt and Suh [3] proved the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a real hypersurface in the complex quadric Q^m, $m \geq 3$. The Reeb flow on M is isometric if and only if m is even, say $m = 2k$, and M is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^k \subset Q^{2k}$.*

On the other hand, Jacobi fields along geodesics of a given Riemannian manifold (M, g) satisfy a well known differential equation. This equation naturally inspires the so-called Jacobi operator. That is, if R denotes the curvature operator of M, and X is tangent vector field to M, then the Jacobi operator $R_X{\in}End(T_xM)$ with respect to X at $x{\in}M$, defined by $(R_XY)(x) = (R(Y, X)X)(x)$ for any $X{\in}T_xM$, becomes a self adjoint endomorphism of the tangent bundle TM of M. Thus, each tangent vector field X to M provides a Jacobi operator R_X with respect to X. In particular, for the Reeb vector field ξ, the Jacobi operator R_ξ is said to be a *structure Jacobi operator*.

Recently Ki, Pérez, Santos and Suh [8] have investigated the Reeb parallel structure Jacobi operator in the complex space form $M_m(c)$, $c{\neq}0$ and have used it to study some principal curvatures for a tube over a totally geodesic submanifold. In particular, Pérez, Jeong and Suh [11] have investigated real hypersurfaces M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ with parallel structure Jacobi operator, that is, $\nabla_X R_\xi = 0$ for any tangent vector field X on M. Jeong, Suh and Woo [5] and Pérez and Santos [9] have generalized such a notion to the recurrent structure Jacobi operator, that is, $(\nabla_X R_\xi)Y = \beta(X)R_\xi Y$ for a certain 1-form β and any vector fields X, Y on M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. Moreover, Pérez, Santos and Suh [10] have further investigated the property of the Lie ξ-parallel structure Jacobi operator in complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^m$, that is, $\mathcal{L}_\xi R_\xi = 0$.

When we consider a hypersurface M in the complex quadric Q^m, the unit normal vector field N of M in Q^m can be divided into two cases : N is $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic or $\mathfrak{A}$-principal (See [3], [4], [17] and [18]). In the first case where M has an $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic unit normal N, we have asserted in [3] that M is locally congruent to a tube over a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^k$ in Q^{2k}. In the second case when N is $\mathfrak{A}$-principal we have proved that M is locally congruent to a tube over a totally geodesic and totally real submanifold S^m in Q^m (see [4]). In this paper we consider the case when the structure Jacobi operator R_ξ of M in Q^m , that is, $\nabla_X R_\xi = 0$ for any tangent vector field X on M is parallel, and we prove the following

Main Theorem. *There do not exist any Hopf hypersurfaces in Q^m, $m{\geq}3$ with parallel stucture Jacobi operator.*

2. The complex quadric

For more background to this section we refer to [3], [4], [6], [7], [12], [17] and [18] . The complex quadric Q^m is the complex hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ which is defined by the equation $z_1^2 + \cdots + z_{m+2}^2 = 0$, where $z_1, \ldots, z_{m+2}$ are homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$. We equip Q^m with the Riemannian metric which is induced from the Fubini

Study metric on $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ with constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. The Kähler structure on $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ induces canonically a Kähler structure (J, g) on the complex quadric. For each $z \in Q^m$ we identify $T_z\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ with the orthogonal complement $\mathbb{C}^{m+2} \ominus \mathbb{C}z$ of $\mathbb{C}z$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m+2}$ (see Kobayashi and Nomizu [7]). The tangent space T_zQ^m can then be identified canonically with the orthogonal complement $\mathbb{C}^{m+2} \ominus (\mathbb{C}z \oplus \mathbb{C}\rho)$ of $\mathbb{C}z \oplus \mathbb{C}\rho$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m+2}$, where $\rho \in \nu_z Q^m$ is a normal vector of Q^m in $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ at the point z.

The complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ is a Hermitian symmetric space of the special unitary group SU_{m+2}, namely $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1} = SU_{m+2}/S(U_{m+1}U_1)$. We denote by $o = [0, \ldots, 0, 1] \in \mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ the fixed point of the action of the stabilizer $S(U_{m+1}U_1)$. The special orthogonal group $SO_{m+2} \subset SU_{m+2}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ with cohomogeneity one. The orbit containing o is a totally geodesic real projective space $\mathbb{R}P^{m+1} \subset \mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$. The second singular orbit of this action is the complex quadric $Q^m = SO_{m+2}/SO_mSO_2$. This homogeneous space model leads to the geometric interpretation of the complex quadric Q^m as the Grassmann manifold $G_2^+(\mathbb{R}^{m+2})$ of oriented 2-planes in $\mathbb{R}^{m+2}$. It also gives a model of Q^m as a Hermitian symmetric space of rank 2. The complex quadric Q^1 is isometric to a sphere S^2 with constant curvature, and Q^2 is isometric to the Riemannian product of two 2-spheres with constant curvature. For this reason we will assume $m \geq 3$ from now on.

For a unit normal vector ρ of Q^m at a point $z \in Q^m$ we denote by $A = A_\rho$ the shape operator of Q^m in $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ with respect to ρ. The shape operator is an involution on the tangent space T_zQ^m and

$$T_zQ^m = V(A_\rho) \oplus JV(A_\rho),$$

where $V(A_\rho)$ is the $+1$-eigenspace and $JV(A_\rho)$ is the (-1)-eigenspace of A_ρ. Geometrically this means that the shape operator A_ρ defines a real structure on the complex vector space T_zQ^m, or equivalently, is a complex conjugation on T_zQ^m. Since the real codimension of Q^m in $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ is 2, this induces an S^1-subbundle $\mathfrak{A}$ of the endomorphism bundle $\mathrm{End}(TQ^m)$ consisting of complex conjugations.

There is a geometric interpretation of these conjugations. The complex quadric Q^m can be viewed as the complexification of the m-dimensional sphere S^m. Through each point $z \in Q^m$ there exists a one-parameter family of real forms of Q^m which are isometric to the sphere S^m. These real forms are congruent to each other under action of the center SO_2 of the isotropy subgroup of SO_{m+2} at z. The isometric reflection of Q^m in such a real form S^m is an isometry, and the differential at z of such a reflection is a conjugation on T_zQ^m. In this way the family $\mathfrak{A}$ of conjugations on T_zQ^m corresponds to the family of real forms S^m of Q^m containing z, and the subspaces $V(A) \subset T_zQ^m$ correspond to the tangent spaces T_zS^m of the real forms S^m of Q^m.

The Gauss equation for $Q^m \subset \mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ implies that the Riemannian curvature tensor $\bar{R}$ of Q^m can be described in terms of the complex structure J and the complex conjugations $A \in \mathfrak{A}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{R}(X,Y)Z &= g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y + g(JY,Z)JX - g(JX,Z)JY - 2g(JX,Y)JZ \\ &\quad + g(AY,Z)AX - g(AX,Z)AY + g(JAY,Z)JAX - g(JAX,Z)JAY.\end{aligned}$$

Then from the equation of Gauss the curvature tensor R of M in complex quadric Q^m is defined so that

$$\begin{aligned}R(X,Y)Z &= g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y + g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X - g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X,Y)\phi Z \\ &\quad + g(AY,Z)AX - g(AX,Z)AY + g(JAY,Z)JAX - g(JAX,Z)JAY \\ &\quad + g(SY,Z)SX - g(SX,Z)SY,\end{aligned}$$

where S denotes the shape operator of M in Q^m.

Recall that a nonzero tangent vector $W \in T_zQ^m$ is called singular if it is tangent to more than one maximal flat in Q^m. There are two types of singular tangent vectors for the complex quadric Q^m:

1. If there exists a conjugation $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $W \in V(A)$, then W is singular. Such a singular tangent vector is called $\mathfrak{A}$-principal.
2. If there exist a conjugation $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and orthonormal vectors $X, Y \in V(A)$ such that $W/||W|| = (X + JY)/\sqrt{2}$, then W is singular. Such a singular tangent vector is called $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic.

For every unit tangent vector $W \in T_zQ^m$ there exist a conjugation $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and orthonormal vectors $X, Y \in V(A)$ such that

$$W = \cos(t)X + \sin(t)JY$$

for some $t \in [0, \pi/4]$. The singular tangent vectors correspond to the values $t = 0$ and $t = \pi/4$. If $0 < t < \pi/4$ then the unique maximal flat containing W is $\mathbb{R}X \oplus \mathbb{R}JY$. Later we will need the eigenvalues and eigenspaces of the Jacobi operator $R_W = R(\cdot, W)W$ for a singular unit tangent vector W.

1. If W is an $\mathfrak{A}$-principal singular unit tangent vector with respect to $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, then the eigenvalues of R_W are 0 and 2 and the corresponding eigenspaces are $\mathbb{R}W \oplus J(V(A) \ominus \mathbb{R}W)$ and $(V(A) \ominus \mathbb{R}W) \oplus \mathbb{R}JW$, respectively.
2. If W is an $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic singular unit tangent vector with respect to $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $X, Y \in V(A)$, then the eigenvalues of R_W are 0, 1 and 4 and the corresponding eigenspaces are $\mathbb{R}W \oplus \mathbb{C}(JX + Y)$, $T_zQ^m \ominus (\mathbb{C}X \oplus \mathbb{C}Y)$ and $\mathbb{R}JW$, respectively.

3. Some general equations

Let M be a real hypersurface in Q^m and denote by (ϕ, ξ, η, g) the induced almost contact metric structure. Note that $\xi = -JN$, where N is a (local) unit normal vector field of M. The tangent bundle TM of M splits orthogonally into $TM = \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathbb{R}\xi$, where $\mathcal{C} = \ker(\eta)$ is the maximal complex subbundle of TM. The structure tensor field ϕ restricted to $\mathcal{C}$ coincides with the complex structure J restricted to $\mathcal{C}$, and $\phi\xi = 0$.

At each point $z \in M$ we define the maximal $\mathfrak{A}$-invariant subspace of T_zM, $z \in M$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{Q}_z = \{X \in T_zM \mid AX \in T_zM \text{ for all } A \in \mathfrak{A}_z\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *(see* [17]*) For each $z \in M$ we have*

(i) *If N_z is $\mathfrak{A}$-principal, then $\mathcal{Q}_z = \mathcal{C}_z$.*
(ii) *IF N_z is not $\mathfrak{A}$-principal, there exist a conjugation $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and orthonormal vectors $X, Y \in V(A)$ such that $N_z = \cos(t)X + \sin(t)JY$ for some $t \in (0, \pi/4]$. Then we have $\mathcal{Q}_z = \mathcal{C}_z \ominus \mathbb{C}(JX + Y)$.*

We now assume that M is a Hopf hypersurface. Then we have

$$S\xi = \alpha\xi$$

with the smooth function $\alpha = g(S\xi, \xi)$ on M. When we consider a transform JX of the Kaehler structure J on Q^m for any vector field X on M in Q^m, we may put

$$JX = \phi X + \eta(X)N$$

for a unit normal N to M. We now consider the Codazzi equation

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X S)Y - (\nabla_Y S)X, Z) = {} & \eta(X)g(\phi Y, Z) - \eta(Y)g(\phi X, Z) - 2\eta(Z)g(\phi X, Y) \\ & + g(X, AN)g(AY, Z) - g(Y, AN)g(AX, Z) \\ & + g(X, A\xi)g(JAY, Z) - g(Y, A\xi)g(JAX, Z). \end{aligned}$$

Putting $Z = \xi$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X S)Y - (\nabla_Y S)X, \xi) = {} & -2g(\phi X, Y) \\ & + g(X, AN)g(Y, A\xi) - g(Y, AN)g(X, A\xi) \\ & - g(X, A\xi)g(JY, A\xi) + g(Y, A\xi)g(JX, A\xi). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & g((\nabla_X S)Y - (\nabla_Y S)X, \xi) \\ = {} & g((\nabla_X S)\xi, Y) - g((\nabla_Y S)\xi, X) \\ = {} & (X\alpha)\eta(Y) - (Y\alpha)\eta(X) + \alpha g((S\phi + \phi S)X, Y) - 2g(S\phi SX, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the previous two equations and putting $X = \xi$ yields

$$Y\alpha = (\xi\alpha)\eta(Y) - 2g(\xi, AN)g(Y, A\xi) + 2g(Y, AN)g(\xi, A\xi).$$

Reinserting this into the previous equation yields

$$\begin{aligned} & g((\nabla_X S)Y - (\nabla_Y S)X, \xi) \\ = {} & -2g(\xi, AN)g(X, A\xi)\eta(Y) + 2g(X, AN)g(\xi, A\xi)\eta(Y) \\ & +2g(\xi, AN)g(Y, A\xi)\eta(X) - 2g(Y, AN)g(\xi, A\xi)\eta(X) \\ & +\alpha g((\phi S + S\phi)X, Y) - 2g(S\phi SX, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Altogether this implies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = {} & 2g(S\phi SX, Y) - \alpha g((\phi S + S\phi)X, Y) - 2g(\phi X, Y) \\ & +g(X, AN)g(Y, A\xi) - g(Y, AN)g(X, A\xi) \\ & -g(X, A\xi)g(JY, A\xi) + g(Y, A\xi)g(JX, A\xi) \\ & +2g(\xi, AN)g(X, A\xi)\eta(Y) - 2g(X, AN)g(\xi, A\xi)\eta(Y) \\ & -2g(\xi, AN)g(Y, A\xi)\eta(X) + 2g(Y, AN)g(\xi, A\xi)\eta(X). \end{aligned}$$

At each point $z \in M$ we can choose $A \in \mathfrak{A}_z$ such that

$$N = \cos(t)Z_1 + \sin(t)JZ_2$$

for some orthonormal vectors $Z_1, Z_2 \in V(A)$ and $0 \leq t \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$ (see Proposition 3 in [12]). Note that t is a function on M. First of all, since $\xi = -JN$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \cos(t)Z_1 + \sin(t)JZ_2, \\ AN &= \cos(t)Z_1 - \sin(t)JZ_2, \\ \xi &= \sin(t)Z_2 - \cos(t)JZ_1, \\ A\xi &= \sin(t)Z_2 + \cos(t)JZ_1. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $g(\xi, AN) = 0$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2g(S\phi SX, Y) - \alpha g((\phi S + S\phi)X, Y) - 2g(\phi X, Y) \\ &\quad + g(X, AN)g(Y, A\xi) - g(Y, AN)g(X, A\xi) \\ &\quad - g(X, A\xi)g(JY, A\xi) + g(Y, A\xi)g(JX, A\xi) \\ &\quad - 2g(X, AN)g(\xi, A\xi)\eta(Y) + 2g(Y, AN)g(\xi, A\xi)\eta(X). \end{aligned}$$

The curvature tensor $R(X, Y)Z$ for a real hypersurface M in Q^m is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X \\ &\quad - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z \\ &\quad + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY + g(JAY, Z)JAX \\ &\quad - g(JAX, Z)JAY + g(SY, Z)SX - g(SX, Z)SY. \end{aligned}$$

From this, putting $Y = Z = \xi$ and using $g(A\xi, N) = 0$, a structure Jacobi operator is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} R_\xi(X) &= R(X, \xi)\xi \\ &= X - \eta(X)\xi + g(A\xi, \xi)AX - g(AX, \xi)A\xi \\ &\quad - g(JAX, \xi)JA\xi + g(S\xi, \xi)SX - g(SX, \xi)S\xi. \end{aligned}$$

4. A key lemma

The curvature tensor $R(X, Y)Z$ for a Hopf real hypersurface M in Q^m induced from the curvature tensor of Q^m is given in section 3. Now the structure Jacobi operator R_ξ from section 3 can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R_\xi(X) =& R(X, \xi)\xi \\ =& X - \eta(X)\xi + \beta AX - g(AX, \xi)A\xi - g(AX, N)AN \\ &+ \alpha SX - g(SX, \xi)S\xi, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where we have put $\alpha = g(S\xi, \xi)$ and $\beta = g(A\xi, \xi)$, because we assume that M is Hopf. The Reeb vector field $\xi = -JN$ and the anti-commuting property $AJ = -JA$ gives that the function β becomes $\beta = -g(AN, N)$. When this function $\beta = g(A\xi, \xi)$ identically vanishes, we say that a real hypersurface M in Q^m is $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic as in section 2.

Here we use the assumption of parallel structure Jacobi operator. Then (4.1) gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 =&\nabla_Y R_\xi(X) = \nabla_Y(R_\xi(X)) - R_\xi(\nabla_Y X)\\
=&-(\nabla_Y\eta)(X)\xi - \eta(X)\nabla_Y\xi + (Y\beta)AX\\
&+\beta\{\bar\nabla_Y(AX) - A\nabla_Y X\} - g(X,\bar\nabla_Y(A\xi))A\xi\\
&-g(X,A\xi)\bar\nabla_Y(A\xi) - g(X,\bar\nabla_Y(AN))AN - g(X,AN)\bar\nabla_Y(AN)\\
&+(Y\alpha)SX + \alpha(\nabla_Y S)X - Y(\alpha^2)\eta(X)\xi - \alpha^2(\nabla_Y\eta)(X)\xi - \alpha^2\eta(X)\nabla_Y\xi\\
=&-g(\phi SY,X)\xi - \eta(X)\phi SY + (Y\beta)AX\\
&+\beta\{q(Y)AX + g(SX,Y)AN\} - g(X,q(Y)A\xi + A\phi SY + \alpha\eta(Y)AN)A\xi\\
&-g(X,A\xi)\{q(Y)A\xi + A\phi SY + \alpha\eta(Y)AN\} - g(X,q(Y)AN - ASY)AN\\
&-g(X,AN)\{q(Y)AN - ASY\} + (Y\alpha)SX + \alpha(\nabla_Y S)X - Y(\alpha^2)\eta(X)\xi\\
&-\alpha^2(\nabla_Y\eta)(X)\xi - \alpha^2\eta(X)\nabla_Y\xi,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where we have used the following formulae

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar\nabla_Y(A\xi) =&(\bar\nabla_Y A)\xi + A(\bar\nabla\xi)\\
=&q(Y)A\xi + A\phi SY + g(SY,\xi)AN,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\bar\nabla_Y(AN) = (\bar\nabla_Y A)N + A\bar\nabla N = q(Y)AN - ASY,$$

and

$$\bar\nabla_Y(AX) = (\bar\nabla_Y A)X + A\bar\nabla_Y X = q(Y)AX + A(\nabla_Y X + \sigma(X,Y)).$$

From this, by taking inner product with the unit normal N, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 =&(Y\beta)g(AX,N) + \beta\{q(Y)g(AX,N) + g(SX,Y)g(AN,N)\}\\
&-g(X,A\xi)\{g(A\phi SY,N) + \alpha\eta(Y)g(AN,N)\} - g(X,q(Y)AN - ASY)g(AN,N)\\
&-q(Y)g(AN,N)g(X,AN) + g(X,AN)g(ASY,N)
\end{aligned}$$

Then by putting $X=\xi$ and using $g(A\xi,N)=0$, we have

$$\beta g(A\phi SY,N) + \beta g(\xi,ASY) = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

On the other hand, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\xi,ASY) =&-g(JN,ASY) = g(N,JASY) = -g(N,AJSY)\\
=&-g(N,A\phi SY) - \eta(SY)g(N,AN).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this one into (4.3), we have

$$\beta\{g(A\phi SY,N) + \eta(SY)g(N,AN)\} - \beta g(A\phi SY,N) = 0.$$

Putting $Y=\xi$ gives $\alpha\beta g(N,AN) = -\alpha\beta^2 = 0$, which implies $t=\frac{\pi}{4}$, if the Reeb function α is non-vanishing. Then the unit normal vector field N becomes

$$N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Z_1 + JZ_2)$$

for $Z_1, Z_2 \in V(A)$ as in section 3, that is, the unit normal N is $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic . Now we can prove the following

Lemma 4.1. *Let M be a Hopf real hypersurface in complex quadric Q^m, $m\geq 3$, with parallel structure Jacobi operator. Then the unit normal vector field N is $\mathfrak{A}$-principal or $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic.*

Proof. When the Reeb function α is non-vanishing, the unit normal N is $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic as mentioned above. When the Reeb function α identically vanishes, let us show that N is $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic or $\mathfrak{A}$-principal. In order to do this, from the condition of Hopf, we can differentiate $S\xi = \alpha\xi$ and use the equation of Codazzi in section 3, then we get the formula

$$Y\alpha = (\xi\alpha)\eta(Y) - 2g(\xi, AN)g(Y, A\xi) + 2g(Y, AN)g(\xi, A\xi).$$

From the assumption of $\alpha = 0$ combined with the fact $g(\xi, AN) = 0$ proved in section 3, we deduce $g(Y, AN)g(\xi, A\xi) = 0$ for any $Y \in T_xM$, $x \in M$. This gives that the vector AN is normal, that is, $AN = g(AN, N)N$ or $g(A\xi, \xi) = 0$, which implies that the unit normal N is $\mathfrak{A}$-principal or $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic, respectively. This completes the proof of our Lemma. □

By virtue of this Lemma, we distinguish between two classes of real hypersurfaces in complex quadric Q^n with parallel structure Jacobi operator : those that have $\mathfrak{A}$-principal unit normal, and those that have $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic unit normal vector field N. We treat the respective cases in sections 5 and 6.

5. Parallel structure Jacobi operator with $\mathfrak{A}$-principal normal

In this section we consider a real hypersurface M in a complex quadric with $\mathfrak{A}$-principal unit normal vector field. Then the unit normal vector field N satisfies $AN = N$ for a complex conjuagation $A \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Then the structure Jacobi operator R_ξ is given by

$$R_\xi(X) = X - 2\eta(X)\xi - AX + g(S\xi, \xi)SX - g(SX, \xi)S\xi. \tag{5.1}$$

Since we assume that M is Hopf, (5.1) becomes

$$R_\xi(X) = X - 2\eta(X)\xi - AX + \alpha SX - \alpha^2\eta(X)\xi. \tag{5.2}$$

By the assumption that the structure Jacobi operator R_ξ is parallel, the derivative of R_ξ along any tangent vector field Y on M is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =&(\nabla_Y R_\xi)(X) = \nabla_Y(R_\xi(X)) - R_\xi(\nabla_Y X) \\ =& -2\{(\nabla_Y\eta)(X)\xi + \eta(X)\nabla_Y\xi\} - (\nabla_Y A)X + (Y\alpha)SX \\ &+ \alpha(\nabla_Y S)X - (Y\alpha^2)\eta(X)\xi - \alpha^2(\nabla_Y\eta)(X)\xi - \alpha^2\eta(X)\nabla_Y\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

We can write

$$AY = BY + \rho(Y)N,$$

where BY denotes the tangential component of AY and $\rho(Y) = g(AY, N) = g(Y, AN) = g(Y, N) = 0$. So we have $AY = BY$ for any vector field Y on M in Q^m. Then it follows

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Y A)X =&(\bar{\nabla}_Y A)X + A\{\nabla_Y X + \sigma(Y, X)\} \\ &- \sigma(Y, AX) - A\nabla_Y X \\ =&q(Y)AX + A\sigma(Y, X) - \sigma(Y, AX) \\ =&q(Y)AX + g(SX, Y)AN - g(SY, AX)N, \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where we have used the Gauss and Weingarten formulae. From this, together with (5.3) and using that $\mathfrak{A}$-principal, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =&(\nabla_Y R_\xi)(X) \\ =&-(2+\alpha^2)\{(\nabla_Y\eta)(X)\xi+\eta(X)\nabla_Y\xi\} \\ &-\{q(Y)AX+g(SX,Y)N-g(SY,AX)N\} \\ &+(Y\alpha)SX+\alpha(\nabla_Y S)X-(Y\alpha^2)\eta(X)\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

From this, taking inner product of (5.5) with the unit normal vector field N, we have

$$SAX = SX$$

for any vector field X on M. By putting $X=-\xi$ and using that M is Hopf, we have

$$SA\xi = S\xi = \alpha\xi.$$

But the left side becomes $SA\xi = -S\xi = -\alpha\xi$. So it follows that the Reeb function α vanishes. Then (5.5) can be rearranged as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =&-2\{(\nabla_Y\eta)(X)+\eta(X)\nabla_Y\xi\}-q(Y)AX \\ =&-2\{g(\phi SY,X)\xi+\eta(X)\phi SY\}-q(Y)AX. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

From this, by putting $X=\xi$, it gives

$$-2\phi SY = q(Y)A\xi = -q(Y)\xi.$$

So $q(Y)=0$ implies $\phi SY=0$, which gives $SY=\alpha\eta(Y)\xi$, that is, M is totally η-umbilical, in which case the shape operator commutes with the structure tensor ϕ. Then by a theorem due to Berndt and Suh [3], M is locally congruent to a tube of radius r, $0<r<\frac{\pi}{2}$, over a totally geodesic complex submanifold $\mathbb{C}P^k$ in Q^{2k}, $m=2k$. Morever, in this case the uinit normal N is $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic, not $\mathfrak{A}$-principal. This rules out the existence of an $\mathfrak{A}$-principal unit normal N together with a parallel structure Jacobi operator. So we give a proof of our main theorem with $\mathfrak{A}$-principal unit normal N.

6. Parallel structure Jacobi operator with $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic normal

In this section we assume that the unit normal vector field N is $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic. Then the normal vector field N can be written as

$$N=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Z_1+JZ_2)$$

for $Z_1, Z_2 \in V(A)$, where $V(A)$ denotes a $+1$-eigenspace of the complex conjugation $A\in\mathfrak{A}$. Then it follows that

$$AN=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Z_1-JZ_2),\ AJN=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(JZ_1+Z_2),\ \text{and}\ JN=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(JZ_1-Z_2).$$

Then it gives that

$$g(\xi,A\xi)=g(JN,AJN)=0, g(\xi,AN)=0 \text{ and } g(AN,N)=0.$$

By virtue of these formulas for $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic unit normal, the structure Jacobi operator can be defined so that

$$\begin{aligned} R_\xi(X) =& R(X,\xi)\xi \\ =& X-\eta(X)\xi-g(AX,\xi)A\xi-g(JAX,\xi)JA\xi \\ &+g(S\xi,\xi)SX-g(SX,\xi)S\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

On the other hand, we know that $JA\xi=-JAJN=AJ^2N=-JN$, and $g(JAX,\xi)=-g(AX,J\xi)=-g(AX,N)$. Now the structure Jacobi operator R_ξ can be rearranged as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R_\xi(X) =& X-\eta(X)\xi-g(AX,\xi)A\xi-g(X,AN)AN \\ &+\alpha SX-\alpha^2\eta(X)\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Differentiating (6.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_Y R_\xi(X) =& \nabla_Y(R_\xi(X))-R_\xi(\nabla_Y X) \\ =& -(\nabla_Y\eta)(X)\xi-\eta(X)\nabla_Y\xi-g(X,\nabla_Y(A\xi))A\xi \\ &-g(X,A\xi)\nabla_Y(A\xi)-g(X,\nabla_Y(AN))AN-g(X,AN)\nabla_Y(AN) \\ &+(Y\alpha)SX+\alpha(\nabla_Y S)X-(Y\alpha^2)\eta(X)\xi \\ &-\alpha^2(\nabla_Y\eta)(X)\xi-\alpha^2\eta(X)\nabla_Y\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Here let us use the equation of Gauss and Weingarten formula as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_Y(AN) =& \bar{\nabla}_Y(AN)-\sigma(Y,AN) \\ =& (\bar{\nabla}_Y A)N+A\bar{\nabla}_Y N-\sigma(Y,AN) \\ =& q(Y)JAN-ASY-\sigma(Y,AN), \\ =& q(Y)A\xi-ASY-\sigma(Y,AN), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_Y(A\xi) =& \bar{\nabla}_Y(A\xi)-\sigma(Y,A\xi) \\ =& (\bar{\nabla}_Y A)\xi+A\bar{\nabla}_Y\xi-\sigma(Y,A\xi) \\ =& q(Y)JA\xi+A\{\phi SY+\eta(SY)N\}-\sigma(Y,A\xi) \\ =& -q(Y)AN+A\phi SY+\eta(SY)AN-\sigma(Y,A\xi) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these formulas into (6.3) and using the assumption of parallel structure Jacobi operator, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =& \nabla_Y R_\xi(X) \\ =& -g(\phi SY,X)\xi-\eta(X)\phi SY \\ &-\{q(Y)g(A\xi,X)+g(A\phi SY,X)+g(SY,\xi)g(AN,X)\}A\xi \\ &-g(X,A\xi)\{q(Y)A\xi+A\phi SY+g(SY,\xi)AN \\ &-g(SY,A\xi)N\}-\{q(Y)g(X,AN)-g(X,ASY)\}AN \\ &-g(X,AN)\{q(Y)AN-ASY-g(SY,AN)N\} \\ &+(Y\alpha)SX+\alpha(\nabla_Y S)X-(Y\alpha^2)\eta(X)\xi \\ &-\alpha^2 g(\phi SY,X)\xi-\alpha^2\eta(X)\phi SY. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

From this, taking inner product with the Reeb vector field ξ, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = &- g(\phi SY, X) - g(X, A\xi)g(A\phi SY, \xi) + g(X, AN)g(ASY, \xi) \\ &+ (Y\alpha)\alpha\eta(X) + \alpha g((\nabla_Y S)X, \xi) \\ &- (Y\alpha^2)\eta(X) - \alpha^2 g(\phi SY, X). \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

Here by the assumption that M is Hopf we can use the following

$$(\nabla_Y S)\xi = \nabla_Y(S\xi) - S(\nabla_Y \xi) = (Y\alpha)\xi + \alpha\phi SY - S\phi SY.$$

Then it follows that

$$\alpha g((\nabla_Y S)X, \xi) = g(\alpha(Y\alpha)\xi + \alpha^2\phi SY - \alpha S\phi SY, X). \tag{6.6}$$

Taking inner product of (6.4) with the unit normal N, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = &- g(X, A\xi)g(A\phi SY, N) + g(X, A\xi)g(SY, A\xi) \\ &+ g(X, AN)g(ASY, N) + g(X, AN)g(SY, AN). \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

From this, putting $X = AN$ and using that N is $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic, we have $SAN = 0$. This also gives $S\phi A\xi = 0$.

On the other hand, one of the terms $g(SY, A\xi)$ in (6.4) becomes

$$g(SY, A\xi) = -g(SY, AJN) = g(SY, JAN) = g(SY, \phi AN + \eta(AN)N) = -g(A\phi SY, N).$$

Substituting this term into (6.7) gives $S\phi AN = 0$. Summing up these formulas, we can write

$$SA\xi = 0,\ SAN = 0,\ S\phi A\xi = 0, \text{and } S\phi AN = 0. \tag{6.8}$$

Putting $X = \xi$ in (6.4), and using (6.6) and (6.8), we have

$$\phi SY = -\alpha S\phi SY. \tag{6.9}$$

In the case when N is $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic, Berndt and Suh [3] have proved that the Reeb function α is constant. So, we divide into the two cases that $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. For the first case, (6.9) gives $\phi SY = 0$, which implies $SY = \alpha\eta(Y)\xi$, that is, M is totally η-umbilical. So the structure tensor commutes with the shape operator, $S\phi = \phi S$. Then by Berndt and Suh [3] M is locally congruent to a tube of radius r over a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^k$ in Q^{2k}. But the Reeb function α of this tube never vanishing. So such a case $\alpha = 0$ can not happen. Finally we consider the case $\alpha \neq 0$.

On the other hand, on the distribution $\mathcal{Q}$ let us introduce an important formula mentioned in section 3 as follows:

$$2S\phi SY - \alpha(\phi S + S\phi)Y = 2\phi Y \tag{6.10}$$

for any tangent vector field Y on M in Q^m (see also [3] , pages 1350050-11). So if $SY = \lambda Y$ in (6.10), then $(2\lambda - \alpha)S\phi Y = (\alpha\lambda + 2)\phi Y$, which gives

$$S\phi Y = \frac{\alpha\lambda + 2}{2\lambda - \alpha}\phi Y, \tag{6.11}$$

because if $2\lambda - \alpha = 0$, then $\alpha\lambda + 2 = 0$. This implies $\alpha^2 + 4 = 0$, which gives us a contradiction. By (6.9) and (6.10), we know that

$$-\frac{2 + \alpha^2}{\alpha}\phi S - \alpha S\phi = 2\phi.$$

From this, putting $SY = \lambda Y$ and using (6.11), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} S\phi Y =& -\frac{2\lambda + \alpha^2\lambda + 2\alpha}{\alpha^2}\phi Y \\ =& \frac{\alpha\lambda + 2}{2\lambda - \alpha}\phi Y. \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

Then by a straightforward calculation, we get the following equation

$$\lambda\{2(\alpha^2+2)\lambda + 2\alpha\} = 0.$$

This means $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = -\frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2+2}$. When $\lambda = 0$, by (6.12), $S\phi Y = -\frac{2}{\alpha}\phi Y$. Then $\frac{2}{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2+2}$, which gives $\alpha^2 + 4 = 0$. This is again a contradiction. So we can assume that the other principal curvature is $-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2+2}$. Now let us denote this princpal curvature by the function β. Accordingly, the shape operator S can be expressed as

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \beta & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \beta & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \beta \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us consider the principal curvature β such that $SY = \beta Y$ for the formula (6.9). It follows that $\beta\phi Y = -\alpha\beta S\phi Y$. From this, together with the expression for S, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S\phi Y =& \beta\phi Y \\ =& -\frac{\beta}{\alpha\beta}\phi Y = -\frac{1}{\alpha}\phi Y. \end{aligned}$$

Then $-1 = \alpha\beta = -\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2+2}$, which gives us a contradiction. Accordingly, we conclude that any real hypersurfaces M in Q^m with $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic do not admit a parallel structure Jacobi operator.

Remark 6.1. *Let us check that a tube of radius r $(0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2})$ over a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^k$ in Q^{2k}. Then by Berndt and Suh* [1] *the tube has a commuting shape operator, that is, $S\phi = \phi S$ and the unit normal N is $\mathfrak{A}$-isotropic and the Reeb curvature $\alpha = g(S\xi, \xi)$ is constant. By putting $Y = \xi$ in (6.4) and using the properties $g(A\xi, \xi) = 0$ and $g(AN, N) = 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =& -\{q(\xi)g(A\xi, X) + \alpha g(AN, X)\}A\xi - g(X, A\xi)\{q(\xi)A\xi + \alpha AN\} \\ &+ \{q(\xi)g(X, AN) - \alpha g(X, A\xi)\}AN + g(X, AN)\{q(\xi)AN - \alpha A\xi\} \\ &+ \alpha(\nabla_\xi S)X. \end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

Since on such a tube $SA\xi = 0$ and $SAN = 0$, by differentiating we know that $(\nabla_\xi S)A\xi = 0$. In fact, by the Gauss and Weingarten formulas and using $g(S\xi, A\xi) = 0$ and $\nabla_\xi\xi = 0$, we have

$$\nabla_\xi(A\xi) = q(\xi)A\xi + \alpha AN.$$

So we have $S\nabla_\xi(A\xi) = q(\xi)SA\xi + \alpha SAN = 0$. *By using such a fact, taking inner product of (6.13) with* $A\xi$, *we have*

$$0 = q(\xi)g(A\xi, X) + \alpha g(AN, X).$$

From this, by putting $X = AN \in T_xM$, $x \in M$, *it follows that* $2\alpha g(AN, AN) = 0$, *which gives* $\alpha = 0$. *But this contradicts the poperties of the tube. So the tube does not admit a parallel structure Jacobi operator.*

Remark 6.2. *When we consider that* M *is locally congruent to a tube of radius* r, $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}$, *over a totally geodesic and totally real space form* S^m *in* Q^m. *Then in Berndt and Suh* [4] *it was shown that* M *has three distinct constant principal curvatures* $\alpha = -\sqrt{2}\cot(\sqrt{2}r)$, $\lambda = 0$ *and* $\mu = \sqrt{2}\tan(\sqrt{2}r)$ *with multiplicities* 1, $m-1$ *and* $m-1$ *respectively. This is equivalent to* $\phi S + S\phi = k\phi$, *where* k *is a constant* $k \neq 0$. *Moreover, the unit normal* N *of* M *in* Q^m *is* $\mathfrak{A}$*-principal, that is,* $AN = N$, *and* $A\xi = -\xi$. *If we assume that the structure Jacobi operator on* M *is parallel, then as remarked in section 5 we know the following*

$$0 = (\nabla_Y R_\xi)X = -2\{g(\phi SY, X)\xi + \eta(X)\phi SY\} - q(Y)AX$$

for any vector fields X *and* Y *on* M. *From this, by putting* $X = \xi$ *gives* $2\phi SY = -q(Y)A\xi = q(Y)\xi$. *This gives* $q(Y) = 0$ *for any* Y *on* M, *so it follows that* $\phi SY = 0$. *This means that* $SY = \alpha\eta(Y)\xi$, *that is, the tube is totally* η*-umbilical. But the tube mentioned above is never* η*-umbilical. Accordingly, the tube does not admit any parallel structure Jacobi operator.*

Remark 6.3. *In* [19] *we have classified real hypersurfacees* M *in complex quadric* Q^m *with parallel Ricci tensor, according to whether the unit normal* N *is* $\mathfrak{A}$*-principal or* $\mathfrak{A}$*-isotropic. When* N *is* $\mathfrak{A}$*-principal, we proved a non-existence property for Hopf hypersurfaces in* Q^m. *For a Hopf real hypersurface* M *in* Q^m *with* $\mathfrak{A}$*-isotropic we have given a complete classification that it has three distinct constant principal curvatures.*

References

[1] J. Berndt and Y.J. Suh, *Real hypersurfaces with isometric Reeb flow in complex two-plane Grassmannians*, Monatsh. Math. **137** (2002), 87–98.

[2] J. Berndt and Y.J. Suh, *Hypersurfaces in noncompact complex Grassmannians of rank two*, International J. Math., World Scientific Publishing, **23**(2012), 1250103(35 pages).

[3] J. Berndt and Y. J. Suh, *Real hypersurfaces with isometric Reeb flow in complex quadrics*, International J. Math. **24** (2013), 1350050, 18pp.

[4] J. Berndt and Y. J. Suh, *Hypersurfaces in Kaehler manifold*, Proceedings of AMS. **143** (2015), 2637-2649.

[5] I. Jeong, Y.J. Suh and C. Woo, *Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannian with recurrent structure Jacobi operator*, Springer Proc. in Math. & Statistics, Edited by Y.J. Suh, J. Berndt, Y. Ohnita, B.H. Kim and H. Lee. **106** (2014), 267-278.

[6] S. Klein, *Totally geodesic submanifolds in the complex quadric*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **26** (2008), 79–96.

[7] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Vol. II, A Wiley-Interscience Publ., Wiley Classics Library Ed., 1996.

[8] U-H. Ki, J.D. Pérez, F.G. Santos and Y.J. Suh, *Real hypersurfaces in complex space form with* ξ*-parallel Ricci tensor and structure Jacobi operator*, J. of Korean Math. Soc. **44** (2007), 307-326.

[9] J.D. Pérez and F.G. Santos, *Real hypersurfaces in complex projective space with recurrent structure Jacobi operator*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **26** (2008), 218-223.

[10] J.D. Pérez, F.G. Santos and Y.J. Suh, *Real hypersurfaces in complex projective space whose structure Jacobi operator is Lie ξ-parallel*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **22** (2005), 181-188.
[11] J.D. Pérez, I. Jeong and Y.J. Suh, *Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannian with parallel structure Jacobi operator*, Acta. Math. Hungar. **22** (2009), 173-186.
[12] H. Reckziegel, *On the geometry of the complex quadric*, in: *Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds VIII* (Brussels/Nordfjordeid 1995), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1995, pp. 302–315.
[13] M. Okumura, On some real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **212** (1975), 355–364.
[14] B. Smyth, *Differential geometry of complex hypersurfaces*, Ann. Math. **85** (1967), 246-266.
[15] Y.J. Suh, *Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with harmonic curvature*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **100** (2013), 16-33.
[16] Y.J. Suh, *Hypersurfaces with isometric Reeb flow in complex hyperbolic two-plane Grassmannians*, Advances in Applied Mathematics, **50**(2013), 645-659.
[17] Y.J. Suh, *Real hypersurfaces in the complex quadric with Reeb parallel shape operator*, International J. Math. **25** (2014), 1450059, 17pp.
[18] Y.J. Suh, *Real hypersurfaces in the complex quadric with Reeb invariant shape operator*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **38** (2015), 10-21.
[19] Y.J. Suh, *Real hypersurfaces in the complex quadric with parallel Ricci tensor*, Advances in Math. **281** (2015), 886-905.

Kyungpook National University, College of Natural Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Daegu 702-701, Republic of Korea
E-mail address: yjsuh@knu.ac.kr